

EXTENSION OF LINEAR FUNCTIONALS ON F -SPACES WITH BASES

BY JOEL H. SHAPIRO

1. Introduction. A linear topological space is said to have the Hahn-Banach Extension Property (HBEP) if every continuous linear functional on a closed subspace has a continuous linear extension to the whole space. Duren, Romberg, and Shields [4, §7] give an example, due to A. Shuchat, of a non-locally convex space with the HBEP; and ask if this can happen in a non-locally convex F -space. Here we show that the answer is negative for F -spaces with a basis. For this class of spaces, then, the HBEP and local convexity are equivalent. The proof is in §3, with the necessary background material occupying §2.

2. Background material. An F -space is a complete linear metric space over the real or complex field. If E is an F -space, there is a complete translation invariant metric d in E for which the functional $\|x\| = d(x, 0)$ is an F -norm, that is:

- (a) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all x in E , and $\|x\| = 0$ iff $x = 0$,
- (b) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$,
- (c) $\|\alpha x\| \leq \|x\|$ whenever $|\alpha| \leq 1$,
- (d) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x/n\| = 0$ for each x in E ,
- (e) the metric $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ is complete.

Conversely, if E is a real or complex linear space, and $\|\cdot\|$ is an F -norm on E , then $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ defines a metric under which E becomes an F -space (see Kelley-Namioka [5; 52]). We say two F -norms on E are *equivalent* if they induce the same topology on E .

The interior mapping principle and the principle of uniform boundedness hold for F -spaces (see Dunford and Schwartz [3, Chapter II]).

From now on, E denotes an F -space whose topology is induced by an F -norm $\|\cdot\|$. E' is the (continuous) dual of E . A sequence $\{e_n\}_0^\infty$ in E is called a *basis* if to each $x \in E$ there corresponds a unique sequence $\{\xi_n(x)\}_0^\infty$ of scalars such that the series $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \xi_n(x)e_n$ converges in E to x . The coordinate functionals ξ_n are clearly linear, and are continuous (see Corollary to Proposition 1). A sequence $\{e_n\}$ in E is called *basic* if it is a basis for the closed subspace it spans. The following result is essentially proved by Arsove [1].

PROPOSITION 1. *Suppose $\{e_k\}_0^\infty$ is a basis in E . Then*

Received October 30, 1968. This work represents part of the author's dissertation at the University of Michigan. The author wishes to thank Professor Allen Shields for his guidance.

$$\|x\|_1 = \sup \left\| \sum_{k=n}^m \xi_k(x)e_k \right\| \quad (0 \leq n \leq m)$$

defines an F -norm on E equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$.

Proof. Equivalence follows from the interior mapping principle, once we know that $\|\cdot\|_1$ is an F -norm. Clearly $\|\cdot\|_1$ satisfies (a)–(c). Arsove [1, Theorem 2] shows that it satisfies (e), so it remains to verify (d). If $x \in E$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose $N > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=M}^L \xi_k(x)e_k \right\| < \epsilon/2$$

whenever $L \geq M > N$. Thus

$$\left\| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \xi_k(x)e_k \right\|_1 \leq \epsilon/2.$$

Since $\|\cdot\|_1$ satisfies (c), we also have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \xi_k(x/n)e_k \right\|_1 \leq \epsilon/2,$$

so

$$\|x/n\|_1 \leq \left\| \sum_{k=0}^N \xi_k(x/n)e_k \right\|_1 + \epsilon/2 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

$\|\cdot\|$ is an F -norm, so whenever $0 \leq L \leq M \leq N$ and n is sufficiently large, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=L}^M \xi_k(x/n)e_k \right\| = \left\| n^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=L}^M \xi_k(x)e_k \right) \right\| < \epsilon/2;$$

whereupon

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^N \xi_k(x/n)e_k \right\|_1 < \epsilon/2,$$

and

$$\|x/n\|_1 < \epsilon. \quad ///$$

The new norm has the following useful property:

$$(1) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=n}^m \xi_k(x)e_k \right\|_1 \leq \|x\|_1 \quad (x \in E; 0 \leq n \leq m),$$

from which it is easy to obtain

COROLLARY 1. *If $\{e_n\}_0^\infty$ is a basis for E , then the coordinate functionals are continuous.*

PROPOSITION 2. *If $\{e_n\}_0^\infty$ is a basis for E , $\{n_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a strictly increasing*

sequence of non-negative integers, $\{\alpha_n\}_0^\infty$ is a sequence of scalars, and

$$f_k = \sum_{n=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} \alpha_n e_n \neq 0 \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

then $\{f_k\}$ is a basic sequence.

Proof. We may suppose $\|\cdot\|$ has property (1). Suppose x is in N , the closed subspace spanned by $\{f_k\}$. Then $x = \lim x_n$, where

$$x_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,k} f_k \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

and for each n , $\beta_{n,k} = 0$ except for finitely many k . From (1)

$$\|(\beta_{n,k} - \beta_{m,k})f_k\| \leq \|x_m - x_n\|,$$

so from the continuity of scalar multiplication $\{\beta_{n,k}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Let

$$\beta_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n,k} \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Suppose $n_k + 1 \leq j \leq n_{k+1}$. Then for $n \geq 0$,

$$\xi_j(x_n) = \xi_j(\beta_{n,k} f_k) = \beta_{n,k} \xi_j(f_k),$$

so

$$\xi_j(x) = \lim_n \xi_j(x_n) = \beta_k \xi_j(f_k).$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{j=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} \xi_j(x) e_j = \beta_k \sum_{j=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} \xi_j(f_k) e_j = \beta_k f_k.$$

Thus for each $x \in N$, insertion of parentheses in the convergent series $x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i(x) e_i$ yields the convergent series $x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k f_k$. This representation of x is clearly unique, so $\{f_k\}$ is a basis for N . ///

We shall need the notion of *Mackey topology*. Let X be a real or complex linear space and Y a subspace of its algebraic dual. Then there is a (unique) strongest locally convex topology on E for which Y is the (continuous) dual. This topology is called the *Mackey topology of the dual pair* (X, Y) , and is denoted by $m(X, Y)$ [5, §18]. A locally convex topology τ is called a *Mackey topology* if $\tau = m(X, X')$, where X' is the τ -dual of X . Every pseudometrizable locally convex topology is Mackey [5; 210]. A consequence of this is

PROPOSITION 3. *Let E be an F -space and let V_n denote the convex hull of $\{x \in E: \|x\| < n^{-1}\}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Then $\{V_n\}_1^\infty$ is a local base for $m(E, E')$. Consequently $m(E, E')$ is weaker than the original topology and is pseudometrizable.*

Proof. It is easy to check that $\{V_n\}_1^\infty$ is a local base for a vector topology τ on E weaker than the original topology τ_0 . Thus every τ -continuous linear

functional is τ_0 -continuous. Suppose λ is τ_0 -continuous. Then λ is bounded on some neighborhood $\{x \in E: \|x\| < n^{-1}\}$, and has the same bound on its convex hull V_n . Therefore λ is τ -continuous. Thus the τ -dual of E is E' . Since τ has a countable local base, it is pseudometrizable, hence Mackey. Thus $\tau = m(E, E')$. ///

It is easy to see that $m(E, E')$ is metrizable if and only if E' separates points of E . In particular this happens when E has a basis, since the coordinate functionals are continuous and separate points. In the other direction, if $E = L^p([0, 1])$ ($0 < p < 1$), with

$$\|f\| = \int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt,$$

then the convex hull of $\{f \in E: \|f\| < n^{-1}\}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) is E itself. Thus $m(E, E')$ is the indiscrete topology, and $E' = \{0\}$.

We close this section with a technical lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose E has a basis $\{e_n\}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ has property (1). Let $\{V_n\}$ be as in Proposition 3. Then for each n , the Minkowski functional p_n of V_n also has property (1).*

Proof. Given $x \in E$, $0 \leq k \leq l$, and $p = p_n$, we must show that

$$p\left(\sum_{i=k}^l \xi_i(x)e_i\right) \leq p(x).$$

If $\alpha > p(x)$ then $\alpha^{-1}x \in V_n$, so

$$\alpha^{-1}x = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i x_i,$$

where $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i = 1$, and $\|x_i\| < n^{-1}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$). Thus

$$\alpha^{-1} \sum_{i=k}^l \xi_i(x)e_i = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \left(\sum_{i=k}^l \xi_i(x_i)e_i\right).$$

From (1) we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=k}^l \xi_i(x_i)e_i \right\| \leq \|x_i\| < n^{-1} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N),$$

so $\alpha^{-1} \sum_{i=k}^l \xi_i(x)e_i \in V_n$. Therefore

$$p\left(\sum_{i=k}^l \xi_i(x)e_i\right) < \alpha. \quad \text{///}$$

3. The Hahn-Banach Extension Property. In this section we show that the HBEP fails in every non-locally convex F -space with a basis. We isolate the crucial element of the proof:

PROPOSITION 4. *Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a basic sequence in E with*

Note: Conclusion & proof of Prop. 4 persist under following

hypothesis: \exists sequence $(b_n) \subset E$ and bi-orthog seq

of cts lin fns (ϕ_n) on $F = \overline{\text{span}}(b_n) \ni$

(1) $b_n \rightarrow 0$ in (E, E')

(2) $(\phi_n(x))_n \in \mathcal{D}^\infty \quad \forall x \in F.$

(2) $\inf \|f_n\| = \delta > 0$

and

(3) $\lim f_n = 0$ in $m(E, E')$.

Then there is a continuous linear functional on the closed linear span of $\{f_n\}$ that cannot be extended to E .

Proof. Let N be the closed linear span of $\{f_n\}$. If $f \in N$, then $f = \sum \varphi_n(f) f_n$, where $\{\varphi_n\}$ are the coordinate functionals for $\{f_n\}$. We claim that $\lim \varphi_n(f) = 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). If not, there is an integer $N > 0$ and a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of non-negative integers such that

$$|\varphi_{n_k}(f)| > N^{-1} \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_1$ be an F -norm in N equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$ and having property (1) relative to the basis $\{f_n\}$. Then whenever $n \leq n_k \leq m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=n}^m \varphi_i(f) f_i \right\|_1 &\geq \|\varphi_{n_k}(f) f_{n_k}\|_1 \\ &\geq \|N^{-1} f_{n_k}\|_1 \\ &\geq N^{-1} \|f_{n_k}\|_1 \geq N^{-1} \delta_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_1 = \inf \|f_n\|_1 > 0$. This contradicts the convergence of $\sum \varphi_n(f) f_n$, proving our assertion.

Let $V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \dots$ be the local base for $m(E, E')$ described in Proposition 3, and let p_n be the Minkowski functional of V_n . Then $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots$, and

$$\lim_n p_n(f_n) = 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

so there is a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ such that

$$\lim_k p_k(f_{n_k}) = 0.$$

Choose a sequence of scalars $\{\lambda_n\} \in l^1$ with

(4) $|\lambda_{n_k}| \neq O(p_k(f_{n_k})) \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$

Since $\varphi_n(f) \rightarrow 0$ for each $f \in N$,

$$\lambda(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n \varphi_n(f) \quad (f \in N)$$

defines a linear functional on N which is continuous by the principle of uniform boundedness. *Don't need UBB here, can estimate directly.*

We claim λ has no continuous linear extension to E . For if $\tilde{\lambda}$ were such an extension, there would be an integer $N \geq 0$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\tilde{\lambda}(x)| \leq C p_N(x) \quad (x \in E).$$

But $\bar{\lambda}(f_n) = \lambda(f_n) = \lambda_n$, hence

$$|\lambda_n| = O(p_N(f_n)).$$

For $k \geq N$, $p_k \geq p_n$, hence

$$|\lambda_{n_k}| = O(p_k(f_{n_k})),$$

which contradicts (4). ///

THEOREM 1. *If E is a non-locally convex F -space with a basis, then E does not have the HBEP.*

Proof. Let $\{e_n\}$ be the basis and suppose $\|\cdot\|$ obeys (1). In view of Proposition 3 $m, = m(E, E')$ is strictly weaker than the original topology τ on E . We will show that there is a sequence $\{\beta_i\}$ of scalars such that $\{\sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i e_i\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is m -Cauchy but not τ -Cauchy. Temporarily granting this, we find an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ of non-negative integers such that

$$f_k = \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k+1} \beta_i e_i \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

satisfies (2) and (3) of Proposition 4. $\{f_n\}$ is a basic sequence by Proposition 2, so it follows from Proposition 3 that its closed linear span has a continuous linear functional that cannot be extended to the whole space.

To finish the proof, suppose no such sequence of scalars exists. We claim m is complete. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is m -Cauchy. Since the coordinate functionals are m -continuous, there is a sequence $\{\alpha_i\}$ of scalars such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_j(x_n) = \alpha_j \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let $\{p_n\}$ be the m -seminorms mentioned in Lemma 1, so each $p \in \{p_n\}$ obeys (1). Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} p\left(\sum_{i=k}^l \alpha_i e_i\right) &\leq p\left(\sum_{i=k}^l [\alpha_i - \xi_i(x_n)] e_i\right) + p\left(\sum_{i=k}^l \xi_i(x_n) e_i\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} p\left(\sum_{i=k}^l [\xi_i(x_m) - \xi_i(x_n)] e_i\right) + p\left(\sum_{i=k}^l \xi_i(x_n) e_i\right) \\ &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_m - x_n) + p\left(\sum_{i=k}^l \xi_i(x_n) e_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is m -Cauchy, the first term on the right can be made small by choosing n large. Since $\sum \xi_i(x_n) e_i$ is τ -convergent, hence m -convergent, the second term can be made small for all sufficiently large k and l . Therefore $\{\sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i e_i\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is m -Cauchy. By the hypothesis of this paragraph it is τ -Cauchy, hence both τ and m converge to some $x \in E$. Now

$$p(x - x_n) = \sup_{k, l \geq 0} p\left(\sum_{i=k}^l [\alpha_i - \xi_i(x_n)] e_i\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k, l \geq 0} p \left(\sum_{i=k}^l [\xi_i(x_m) - \xi_i(x_n)] e_i \right) \\ &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_m - x_n), \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that $x = m\text{-}\lim x_n$. Thus m is complete, and an application of the interior mapping principle shows that the identity mapping taking (E, τ) onto (E, m) is a homeomorphism. This contradicts the fact that τ is not locally convex. ///

We conjecture that the HBEP fails for every non-locally convex F -space. In such a space there is always a sequence which tends to 0 in the Mackey topology but not in the original topology. If it were true that every such sequence contained a basic subsequence, the conjecture would follow immediately from Proposition 4. The following result has been obtained by Bessaga and Pełczyński [2] for *locally bounded* spaces, that is, spaces having a bounded neighborhood of 0. Such spaces are metrizable, in fact p -normable for some $0 < p \leq 1$ (see [6]).

PROPOSITION 5. *Suppose E is a locally bounded F -space with a basis. Let $\{\xi_n\}$ be the coordinate functionals for this basis and d the metric in E . If $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence in E with*

$$\inf_n d(f_n, 0) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_n \xi_i(f_n) = 0$$

($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), then $\{f_n\}$ contains a basic subsequence.

An immediate consequence of Propositions 4 and 5 is

THEOREM 2. *Suppose E is an F -space embedded in a locally bounded F -space with a basis. If E is not locally convex, then E does not have the HBEP.*

REFERENCES

1. M. G. ARSOVE, *The Paley-Wiener theorem in linear metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., vol. 10 (1960), pp. 365-379.
2. C. BESSAGA AND A. PEŁCZYŃSKI, *On bases and unconditional convergence in Banach spaces*, Studia Math., vol. 17(1958), pp. 151-164.
3. N. DUNFORD AND J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear Operators, Part I*, New York, 1958.
4. P. L. DUREN, B. W. ROMBERG, AND A. L. SHIELDS, *Linear functionals on H^p with $0 < p < 1$* , J. Reine Angew. Math., vol. 238(1969), pp. 32-60.
5. J. L. KELLEY AND I. NAMIOKA, *Linear Topological Spaces*, Princeton, 1963.
6. S. ROLEWICZ, *On a class of linear metric spaces*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Cl. III, vol. 5(1957), pp. 471-473.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Essen proof of Th. 1

Lemma. E an F -space with basis (e_n) , coord fun (ξ_n) , $\{b_n\}$ a seq. in E with $\lim_n \xi_n(b_n) = 0$ ($n=0, 1, 2, \dots$) then given (ξ_n) pos #'s \exists subseq (b_{n_k}) & block basis $(g_{n_k}) \ni \|b_{n_k} - g_{n_k}\| \leq \epsilon_k$.

Prf of Th 1. If E not loc ex then $\exists (b_n)_n \subset E \ni b_n \rightarrow 0$ in (E, τ) but $\inf_n \|b_n\| = \delta > 0$. Passing to subseq & using Lemma, \exists block basis $(g_n) \ni \|b_n - g_n\| < \delta/2$ but $g_n \rightarrow 0$ in (E, τ) . Also Prop 4 on $\overline{\text{sp}}(g_n)$. ///

