

Convergence to the Denjoy-Wolff point

with: Paul S. Bourdon, Washington & Lee Univ.
Valentin Matache, Univ. Nebraska.

1. The Denjoy-Wolff point

$\varphi : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ holomorphic

- *Schwarz Lemma:*

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \omega \in \mathbb{U} \\ \varphi(\omega) = \omega \end{array} \right\} \implies \varphi_n \rightarrow \omega \text{ on } \mathbb{U}$$

- *Denjoy-Wolff Theorem (1920's)*

If φ fixes no point of \mathbb{U} then

$\exists! \omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}$ such that

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow \omega \text{ on } \mathbb{U}$$

2. Denjoy-Wolff: Complete Story

$$\varphi : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{holomorphic} \\ \text{no fixed point in } \mathbb{U} \end{array} \right.$$

(★) Julia's Lemma:

\exists (!) $\omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}$ such that

$$\forall H: \quad \varphi(H) \subset H$$

- $\omega =$ DW point of φ .
- ω a “fixed point” of φ

$$\angle \lim_{z \rightarrow \omega} \varphi(z) = \omega$$

- φ “conformal at ω ”

$$\angle \lim_{z \rightarrow \omega} \varphi'(z), \quad \angle \lim_{z \rightarrow \omega} \frac{\varphi(z) - \omega}{z - \omega}, \quad \angle \lim_{z \rightarrow \omega} \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|}{1 - |z|}$$

all exist, all equal.

3. Denjoy-Wolff & Hardy Space

H^2 : All $f(z) = \sum_0^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{U})$ such that

$$\|f\|^2 := \sum_0^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty$$

A Hilbert space

“Boundary H^2 ”: $f \in H^2 \Rightarrow$

- $\angle \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = f(\zeta)$, a.e. $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{U}$.

- $\|f\|^2 = \int_{\partial\mathbb{U}} |f(\zeta)|^2 dm(\zeta)$

DW Theorem (restated). $\forall \varphi : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ holo.

$\exists \omega \in \bar{\mathbb{U}}$ such that

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow \omega \text{ weakly in } H^2$$

(i.e. $\langle \varphi_n, f \rangle \rightarrow \langle \omega, f \rangle \quad \forall f \in H^2$)

4. QN: $\|\varphi_n - \omega\| \rightarrow 0$??

NO if φ inner and $\omega \in \mathbb{U}$

Theorem. YES in every other case!

Proof. (a) $\omega \in \mathbb{U}$, φ not inner.

WLOG $\omega = 0$ (i.e., $\varphi(0) = 0$).

KNOWN: $\exists 0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f \in H^2 \\ f(0) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \implies \|f \circ \varphi\| \leq \delta \|f\|$$

$$\implies \|\varphi_n\| \leq \delta^n \rightarrow 0$$

□

We are proving

Theorem. $\|\varphi_n - \omega\| \rightarrow 0$

UNLESS φ inner & $\omega \in \mathbb{U}$.

Proof. (a) $\omega \in \mathbb{U}$, φ not inner: Done!

(b) $\omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}$, WLOG $\omega = 1$.

$$\|\varphi_n - 1\|^2 = \|f \circ \varphi_n\|^2 \quad (f(z) = z - 1)$$

$$= \int |f \circ \varphi_n|^2 dm$$

$$= P[|f \circ \varphi_n|^2](0)$$

$$\leq P[|f|^2](\varphi_n(0))$$

$$= P[2(1 - \operatorname{Re} z)](\varphi_n(0))$$

$$= 2(1 - \operatorname{Re} \varphi_n(0))$$

$$\rightarrow 0$$

□

5. QUESTION

$$\sim \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \varphi \text{ inner} \\ \& \\ \omega \in \mathbb{U} \end{array} \right\} \xRightarrow{???\!} \varphi_n \longrightarrow \omega \text{ a.e. on } \partial\mathbb{U}$$

Remarks.

- $(\star) \Rightarrow \varphi_{n_k} \rightarrow \omega \text{ a.e. on } \partial\mathbb{U}.$
- $\varphi_n \rightarrow \omega \text{ a.e.} \Rightarrow \|\varphi_n - \omega\| \rightarrow 0$

Proposition

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \varphi \text{ not inner} \\ \& \\ \omega \in \mathbb{U} \end{array} \right\} \implies \varphi_n \longrightarrow \omega \text{ a.e. on } \partial\mathbb{U}$$

Proof. WLOG $\omega = 0$.

$$\|\varphi_n\| < \delta^n \quad \text{some } 0 < \delta < 1$$

$$\infty > \sum \|\varphi_n\|^2 = \sum \int |\varphi_n|^2 dm = \int \left(\sum |\varphi_n|^2 \right) dm$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum |\varphi_n|^2 < \infty \text{ a.e.} \Rightarrow \varphi_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e.} \quad \square$$

Recall our question:

$$\sim \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi \text{ inner} \\ \& \\ \omega \in \mathbb{U} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{???\!} \varphi_n \longrightarrow \omega \text{ a.e. on } \partial\mathbb{U}$$

So far have proved:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi \text{ not inner} \\ \& \\ \omega \in \mathbb{U} \end{array} \right\} \implies \varphi_n \longrightarrow \omega \text{ a.e. on } \partial\mathbb{U}$$

6. QUESTION: What if $\omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}$?

Know $\varphi_n \rightarrow \omega$ in norm. ?? \rightarrow A.E. ??

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_n - 1\|^2 &\leq 2(1 - \operatorname{Re} \varphi_n(0)) \\ &\leq 2(1 - |\varphi_n(0)|^2) \end{aligned}$$

$\sum(1 - \varphi_n(0)) < \infty \implies \varphi_n \rightarrow \omega \text{ a.e.}$
--

Our Question: “ $\varphi_n \rightarrow \omega$ a.e.” ??

So far:

- FALSE if φ inner and $\omega \in \mathbb{U}$.
 - TRUE if either:
 - (i) $\omega \in \mathbb{U}$ and φ not inner
 - (ii) $\sum(1 - |\varphi_n(0)|) < \infty$
-

Remarks: For $\omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}$:

- $\sim [\varphi_n \rightarrow \omega \text{ a.e.} \Rightarrow \text{(ii)}]$
 - (ii) $\Rightarrow \varphi_n \rightarrow \omega$ a.e. for φ inner!
(Doering & Mañe, 1991)
-

Question: $\sum(1 - |\varphi_n(0)|) < \infty$

For which φ with $\omega \in \mathbb{U}$?

7. Question: $\sum(1 - |\varphi_n(0)|) < \infty$

for which φ with $\omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}$?

Two “types” of φ with $\omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}$:

- *Hyperbolic*: $\varphi'(\omega) < 1$
 - *Parabolic*: $\varphi'(\omega) = 1$
-

Proposition

φ *hyperbolic* $\Rightarrow \sum(1 - |\varphi_n(0)|) < \infty$

($\Rightarrow \varphi_n \rightarrow \omega$ a.e.)

Proof. Julia's Lemma again!

In Right Half-Plane:

$\Phi(\{\operatorname{Re} w > \delta\})$

$\subset \{\operatorname{Re} w > \delta/\varphi'(\omega)\}$

Proposition

$$\varphi \text{ hyperbolic} \Rightarrow \sum (1 - |\varphi_n(0)|) < \infty$$

$$(\Rightarrow \varphi_n \rightarrow \omega \text{ a.e.})$$

Proof. Julia's Lemma again!

In \mathbb{U} :

$$|\omega - \varphi_n(0)|^2 \leq \varphi'(\omega)^n (1 - |\varphi_n(0)|^2) \leq \varphi'(\omega)^n.$$

Thus

$$1 - |\varphi_n(0)| \leq |\omega - \varphi_n(0)| \leq \varphi'(\omega)^{n/2}$$

hence (because $\varphi'(\omega) < 1$)

$$\sum_n (1 - |\varphi_n(0)|) < \infty$$

□

Fund'l Question: $\varphi_n \rightarrow \omega$ a.e. for which φ ?

So far:

- False if φ inner, $\omega \in \mathbb{U}$.
 - True if:
 φ not inner, $\omega \in \mathbb{U}$, or
 φ of hyperbolic type
($\omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}$, $\varphi'(\omega) < 1$).
-

8. Question: $\varphi_n \rightarrow \omega$ for
 φ of *parabolic type*? ($\varphi'(\omega) = 1$)

Parabolic Dichotomy:

ψ -hyp. metric: $\rho(z, w) := \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{z}w} \right|$

Say φ is of:

- *Automorphism type* if
 $\lim_n \rho(\varphi_n(0), \varphi_{n+1}(0)) > 0$
- *Non-automorphism type* if
 $\lim_n \rho(\varphi_n(0), \varphi_{n+1}(0)) = 0$

Proposition φ of parabolic auto. type

$$\Rightarrow \sum_n (1 - |\varphi_n(0)|) < \infty$$

($\Rightarrow \varphi_n \rightarrow \omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}$ a.e.)

Proof. Linear Fractional Model Theorem
(Baker, Cowen, Pommerenke, 1980's)

$\exists \sigma : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \text{RHP}$ holomorphic such that

$$\sigma \circ \varphi = \sigma + ib \quad (\exists b \in \mathbb{R}).$$

$$\sigma \circ \varphi_n = \sigma + inb \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

$(\sigma(0) + inb)$ a Blaschke sequence for RHP

\Rightarrow

$(\sigma(0) + inb) =$ zero-set for some $F \in H^\infty(\text{RHP})$

\Rightarrow

$(\varphi_n(0)) =$ zero-set of $f := F \circ \sigma \in H^\infty(\mathbb{U})$

\Rightarrow

$$\sum_n (1 - |\varphi_n(0)|) < \infty$$

□

9. Fund'l Question: $\varphi_n \rightarrow \omega$ a.e.??

So far:

For $\omega \in \mathbb{U} \setminus \{ \text{"usual suspects"} \}$: YES

For $\omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}$ —three classes of maps:

• Hyperbolic type ($\varphi'(\omega) < 1$): YES

• Parabolic auto type: YES
($\varphi'(\omega) = 1$, orbits separated)

• Parabolic non-auto type: YES & NO
($\varphi'(\omega) = 1$, orbits not separated)

YES: Parabolic, non-automorphic, LFT $\mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$

NO: Singular inner function

$$\varphi(z) = \exp \left\{ 2 \frac{z-1}{z+1} \right\}, \quad \omega = 1$$

$$\sum_n (1 - |\varphi_n(0)|) = \infty \Rightarrow \sim [\varphi_n \rightarrow \omega \text{ a.e.}]$$

10. Inner Functions & Ergodic Theory

φ inner: $\partial\mathbb{U} \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{U}$

Definition. φ ergodic means:

$$f \circ \varphi = f \quad \Rightarrow \quad f \equiv \text{const. a.e.}$$

Theorem (Aaronson, Neuwirth, Pommerenke):

$$\varphi \text{ ergodic on } \partial\mathbb{U} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} \omega \in \mathbb{U}, \text{ or} \\ \varphi \text{ of non-auto type} \\ (\omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}, \varphi'(\omega) = 1, \\ \text{orbits non-sep}) \end{cases}$$

Invariant measure ($d\mu\varphi^{-1} = d\mu$):

- $m_\omega := P_\omega dm$ if $\omega \in \mathbb{U}$ (Nordgren '68)

- $\varphi'(\omega) \frac{dm(\zeta)}{|\omega - \zeta|^2}$ if $\omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}$ (Letac '77)

Birkhoff Ergodic Th. For finite mpt T :

$$T \text{ ergodic} \Rightarrow f \circ T^n \rightarrow \int f d\mu (C,1) \quad \forall f \in L^1(\mu)$$

Birkhoff Ergodic Th. For finite mpt T :

$$T \text{ ergodic} \Rightarrow f \circ T^n \rightarrow \int f d\mu \quad (\mathbb{C}, 1) \quad \forall f \in L^1(\mu)$$

Apply Birkhoff w/ $f(\zeta) \equiv \zeta$
to φ with $\omega \in \mathbb{U}$:

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow \int \zeta dm_\omega(\zeta) = \omega \quad (\mathbb{C}, 1)$$

Conclude (φ_n) does not converge a.e. on $\partial\mathbb{U}$.

Question. Also true for ergodic φ with $\omega \in \partial\mathbb{U}$?

Example. $Tx = x + 1$ on $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$

$\mu =$ counting measure on \mathbb{Z} , $\mu\{\infty\} = 0$

T ergodic, but $T^n \rightarrow \infty$ a.e.

Aaronson (1978) NO:

$\exists \varphi$ ergodic (orbits nonsep.)

with $\varphi_n \rightarrow \omega$ a.e. $(\sum_n (1 - |\varphi_n(0)|) < \infty)$